ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE II SEMESTER MCA

UNIT-I

<u>1.1 INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1.1.1 What is AI?
- 1.1.2 The foundations of Artificial Intelligence.
- 1.1.3 The History of Artificial Intelligence
- 1.1.4 The state of art

1.2 INTELLIGENT AGENTS

- **1.2.1 Agents and environments**
- 1.2.2 Good behavior : The concept of rationality
- **1.2.3 The nature of environments**
- 1.2.4 Structure of agents

1.3 SOLVING PROBLEMS BY SEARCHING

- 1.3.1 Problem Solving Agents
- 1.3.1.1 Well defined problems and solutions
- **1.3.2 Example problems**
 - 1.3.2.1 Toy problems
 - 1.3.2.2 Real world problems
- 1.3.3 Searching for solutions

1.3.4 Uninformed search strategies

- 1.3.4.1 Breadth-first search
- 1.3.4.2 Uniform-cost search
- 1.3.4.3 Depth-first search
- 1.3.4.4 Depth limited search
- 1.3.4.5 Iterative-deepening depth first search
- 1.3.4.6 Bi-directional search
- 1.3.4.7 Comparing uninformed search strategies

1.3.5 Avoiding repeated states1.3.6 Searching with partial information

1.1 Introduction to AI

1.1.1 What is artificial intelligence?

Artificial Intelligence is the branch of computer science concerned with making computers behave like humans.

Major AI textbooks define artificial intelligence as "the study and design of intelligent agents," where an **intelligent agent** is a system that **perceives** its **environment** and **takes actions** which maximize its chances of success. **John McCarthy**, who coined the term in 1956, defines it as "the science and engineering of making intelligent machines, especially intelligent computer programs."

The definitions of AI according to some text books are categorized into four approaches and are summarized in the table below :

Systems that think like humans	Systems that think rationally
-The exciting new effort to make computers	-The study of mental faculties through the use of
think machines with minds, in the full and	computer models.
literal sense. (Haugeland, 1985)	(Charniak and McDermont, 1985)
Systems that act like humans	Systems that act rationally
The art of creating machines that perform	"Computational intelligence is the study of the
functions that require intelligence when	design of intelligent agents. (Poole et al., 1998)
performed by people. I(Kurzweil, 1990)	

The four approaches in more detail are as follows :

(a) Acting humanly : The Turing Test approach

- Test proposed by Alan Turing in 1950
- The computer is asked questions by a human interrogator.

The computer passes the test if a human interrogator, after posing some written questions, cannot tell whether the written responses come from a person or not. Programming a computer to pass , the computer need to possess the following capabilities :

- * Natural language processing to enable it to communicate successfully in English.
- **Knowledge representation** to store what it knows or hears
- Automated reasoning to use the stored information to answer questions and to draw new conclusions.

Machine learning to adapt to new circumstances and to detect and extrapolate patterns

To pass the complete Turing Test, the computer will need

- **Computer vision** to perceive the objects, and
- * **Robotics** to manipulate objects and move about.

(b) Thinking humanly : The cognitive modeling approach

We need to get inside actual working of the human mind :

(a) through introspection – trying to capture our own thoughts as they go by;

(b) through psychological experiments

Allen Newell and Herbert Simon, who developed **GPS**, the **-General Problem Solver** tried to trace the reasoning steps to traces of human subjects solving the same problems. The interdisciplinary field of **cognitive science** brings together computer models from AI and experimental techniques from psychology to try to construct precise and testable theories of the workings of the human mind

(c) Thinking rationally : The "laws of thought approach"

The Greek philosopher Aristotle was one of the first to attempt to codify -right thinking, that is irrefuatable reasoning processes. His **syllogism** provided patterns for argument structures that always yielded correct conclusions when given correct premises—for example, Socrates is a man; all men are mortal; therefore Socrates is mortal.

These laws of thought were supposed to govern the operation of the mind; their study initiated a field called **logic.**

(d) Acting rationally : The rational agent approach

An **agent** is something that acts. Computer agents are not mere programs ,but they are expected to have the following attributes also : (a) operating under autonomous control, (b) perceiving their environment, (c) persisting over a prolonged time period, (e) adapting to change. A **rational agent** is one that acts so as to achieve the best outcome.

1.1.2 The foundations of Artificial Intelligence

The various disciplines that contributed ideas, viewpoints, and techniques to AI are given below :

Philosophy(428 B.C. – present)

Aristotle (384-322 B.C.) was the first to formulate a precise set of laws governing the rational part of the mind. He developed an informal system of syllogisms for proper reasoning, which allowed one to generate conclusions mechanically, given initial premises.

	Computer	Human Brain		
Computational units	1 CPU,10 ⁸ gates	10 ¹¹ neurons		
Storage units	10 ¹⁰ bits RAM	10 ¹¹ neurons		
-	10 ¹¹ bits disk	10 ¹⁴ synapses		
Cycle time	10^{-9} sec	10^{-3} sec		
Bandwidth	10 ¹⁰ bits/sec	10 ¹⁴ bits/sec		
Memory updates/sec	10 ⁹	10^{14}		
Table 1.1 A crude comparison of the raw computational resources available to computers(circa				
2003) and brain. The computer's numbers have increased by at least by a factor of 10 every few				

Brains and digital computers perform quite different tasks and have different properties. Tablere 1.1 shows that there are 10000 times more neurons in the typical human brain than there are gates in the CPU of a typical high-end computer. Moore's Law predicts that the CPU's gate count will equal the brain's neuron count around 2020.

Psycology(1879 - present)

The origin of scientific psychology are traced back to the wok if German physiologist Hermann von Helmholtz(1821-1894) and his student Wilhelm Wundt(1832 – 1920)

In 1879,Wundt opened the first laboratory of experimental psychology at the university of Leipzig. In US,the development of computer modeling led to the creation of the field of **cognitive science**. The field can be said to have started at the workshop in September 1956 at MIT.

Computer engineering (1940-present)

For artificial intelligence to succeed, we need two things: intelligence and an artifact. The computer has been the artifact of choice.

A1 also owes a debt to the software side of computer science, which has supplied the operating systems, programming languages, and tools needed to write modern programs

Control theory and Cybernetics (1948-present)

Ktesibios of Alexandria (c. 250 B.c.) built the first self-controlling machine: a water clock with a regulator that kept the flow of water running through it at a constant, predictable pace. Modern control theory, especially the branch known as stochastic optimal control, has as its goal the design of systems that maximize an **objective function** over time.

Linguistics (1957-present)

Modem linguistics and AI, then, were "born" at about the same time, and grew up together, intersecting in a hybrid field called **computational linguistics** or **natural language processing.**

1.1.3 The History of Artificial Intelligence

The gestation of artificial intelligence (1943-1955)

There were a number of early examples of work that can be characterized as AI, but it was Alan Turing who first articulated a complete vision of A1 in his 1950 article "Computing Machinery and Intelligence." Therein, he introduced the Turing test, machine learning, genetic algorithms, and reinforcement learning.

The birth of artificial intelligence (1956)

McCarthy convinced Minsky, Claude Shannon, and Nathaniel Rochester to help him bring together U.S. researchers interested in automata theory, neural nets, and the study of intelligence. They organized a two-month workshop at Dartmouth in the summer of 1956. Perhaps the longest-lasting thing to come out of the workshop was an agreement to adopt McCarthy's new name for the field: **artificial intelligence.**

Early enthusiasm, great expectations (1952-1969)

The early years of A1 were full of successes-in a limited way.

General Problem Solver (**GPS**) was a computer program created in 1957 by Herbert Simon and Allen Newell to build a universal problem solver machine. The order in which the program considered subgoals and possible actions was similar to that in which humans approached the same problems. Thus, GPS was probably the first program to embody the "thinking humanly" approach.

At IBM, Nathaniel Rochester and his colleagues produced some of the first A1 programs. Herbert Gelernter (1959) constructed the Geometry Theorem Prover, which was able to prove theorems that many students of mathematics would find quite tricky. Lisp was invented by John McCarthy in 1958 while he was at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). In 1963, McCarthy started the AI lab at Stanford. Tom Evans's ANALOGY program (1968) solved geometric analogy problems that appear in IQ tests, such as the one in Figure 1.1



A dose of reality (1966-1973)

From the beginning, AI researchers were not shy about making predictions of their coming successes. The following statement by Herbert Simon in 1957 is often quoted:

-It is not my aim to surprise or shock you-but the simplest way I can summarize is to say that there are now in the world machines that think, that learn and that create. Moreover, their ability to do these things is going to increase rapidly until-in a visible future-the range of problems they can handle will be coextensive with the range to which the human mind has been applied.

Knowledge-based systems: The key to power? (1969-1979)

Dendral was an influential pioneer project in artificial intelligence (AI) of the 1960s, and the computer software **expert system** that it produced. Its primary aim was to help organic chemists in identifying unknown organic molecules, by analyzing their mass spectra and using knowledge of chemistry. It was done at Stanford University by Edward Feigenbaum, Bruce Buchanan, Joshua Lederberg, and Carl Djerassi.

A1 becomes an industry (1980-present)

In 1981, the Japanese announced the "Fifth Generation" project, a 10-year plan to build intelligent computers running Prolog. Overall, the A1 industry boomed from a few million dollars in 1980 to billions of dollars in 1988.

The return of neural networks (1986-present)

Psychologists including David Rumelhart and Geoff Hinton continued the study of neural-net models of memory.

A1 becomes a science (1987-present)

In recent years, approaches based on **hidden Markov models** (HMMs) have come to dominate the area. Speech technology and the related field of handwritten character recognition are already making the transition to widespread industrial and consumer applications.

The **Bayesian network** formalism was invented to allow efficient representation of, and rigorous reasoning with, uncertain knowledge.

The emergence of intelligent agents (1995-present)

One of the most important environments for intelligent agents is the Internet.

1.1.4 The state of art

What can A1 do today?

Autonomous planning and scheduling: A hundred million miles from Earth, NASA's Remote Agent program became the first on-board autonomous planning program to control the scheduling of operations for a spacecraft (Jonsson *et al.*, 2000). Remote Agent generated plans from high-level goals specified from the ground, and it monitored the operation of the spacecraft as the plans were executed-detecting, diagnosing, and recovering from problems as they occurred.

Game playing: IBM's Deep Blue became the first computer program to defeat the world champion in a chess match when it bested Garry Kasparov by a score of 3.5 to 2.5 in an exhibition match (Goodman and Keene, 1997).

Autonomous control: The ALVINN computer vision system was trained to steer a car to keep it following a lane. It was placed in CMU's NAVLAB computer-controlled minivan and used to navigate across the United States-for 2850 miles it was in control of steering the vehicle 98% of the time.

Diagnosis: Medical diagnosis programs based on probabilistic analysis have been able to perform at the level of an expert physician in several areas of medicine.

Logistics Planning: During the Persian Gulf crisis of 1991, U.S. forces deployed a Dynamic Analysis and Replanning Tool, DART (Cross and Walker, 1994), to do automated logistics planning and scheduling for transportation. This involved up to 50,000 vehicles, cargo, and people at a time, and had to account for starting points, destinations, routes, and conflict resolution among all parameters. The AI planning techniques allowed a plan to be generated in hours that would have taken weeks with older methods. The Defense Advanced Research Project Agency (DARPA) stated that this single application more than paid back DARPA's 30-year investment in AI.

Robotics: Many surgeons now use robot assistants in microsurgery. HipNav (DiGioia

et al., 1996) is a system that uses computer vision techniques to create a three-dimensional model of a patient's internal anatomy and then uses robotic control to guide the insertion of a hip replacement prosthesis.

Language understanding and problem solving: PROVERB (Littman *et al.*, 1999) is a computer program that solves crossword puzzles better than most humans, using constraints on possible word fillers, a large database of past puzzles, and a variety of information sources including dictionaries and online databases such as a list of movies and the actors that appear in them.

1.2 INTELLIGENT AGENTS

1.2.1 Agents and environments

An agent is anything that can be viewed as perceiving its environment through sensors and

SENSOR acting upon that environment through actuators. This simple idea is illustrated in Figure 1.2.

- A human agent has eyes, ears, and other organs for sensors and hands, legs, mouth, and other body parts for actuators.
- A robotic agent might have cameras and infrared range finders for sensors and various motors for actuators.
- A software agent receives keystrokes, file contents, and network packets as sensory inputs and acts on the environment by displaying on the screen, writing files, and sending network packets.



Percept

We use the term **percept** to refer to the agent's perceptual inputs at any given instant.

Percept Sequence

An agent's **percept sequence** is the complete history of everything the agent has ever perceived.

Agent function

Mathematically speaking, we say that an agent's behavior is described by the **agent function** that maps any given percept sequence to an action.

Agent program

Internally, The agent function for an artificial agent will be implemented by an **agent program.** It is important to keep these two ideas distinct. The agent function is an abstract mathematical description; the agent program is a concrete implementation, running on the agent architecture.

To illustrate these ideas, we will use a very simple example-the vacuum-cleaner world shown in Figure 1.3. This particular world has just two locations: squares A and B. The vacuum agent perceives which square it is in and whether there is dirt in the square. It can choose to move left, move right, suck up the dirt, or do nothing. One very simple agent function is the following: if the current square is dirty, then suck, otherwise move to the other square. A partial tabulation of this agent function is shown in Figure 1.4.



Agent function

Percept Sequence	Action			
[A, Clean]	Right			
[A, Dirty]	Suck			
[B, Clean]	Left			
[B, Dirty]	Suck			
[A, Clean], [A, Clean]	Right			
[A, Clean], [A, Dirty]	Suck			
Figure 1.4 Partial tabulation of a simple agent function for the vacuum-cleaner world shown in Figure 1.3.				

agent program

function REFLEX-VACUUM-AGENT([location,status]) returns an action
if status = Dirty then return Suck
else if location = A then return Right
else if location = B then return Left

Rational Agent

A **rational agent** is one that does the right thing-conceptually speaking, every entry in the table for the agent function is filled out correctly. Obviously, doing the right thing is better than doing the wrong thing. The right action is the one that will cause the agent to be most successful.

Performance measures

A performance measure embodies the criterion for success of an agent's behavior. When an agent is plunked down in an environment, it generates a sequence of actions according to the percepts it receives. This sequence of actions causes the environment to go through a sequence of states. If the sequence is desirable, then the agent has performed well.

Rationality

What is rational at any given time depends on four things:

- The performance measure that defines the criterion of success.
- The agent's prior knowledge of the environment.
- The actions that the agent can perform.
- The agent's percept sequence to date.

This leads to a **definition of a rational agent:**

For each possible percept sequence, a rational agent should select an action that is expected to maximize its performance measure, given the evidence provided by the percept sequence and whatever built-in knowledge the agent has.

Omniscience, learning, and autonomy

An **omniscient agent** knows the *actual* outcome of its actions and can act accordingly; but omniscience is impossible in reality.

Doing actions in order to modify future percepts-sometimes called **information gathering**-is an important part of rationality.

Our definition requires a rational agent not only to gather information, but also to **learn** as much as possible from what it perceives.

To the extent that an agent relies on the prior knowledge of its designer rather than on its own percepts, we say that the agent lacks autonomy. A rational agent should be **autonomous**-it should learn what it can to compensate for partial or incorrect prior knowledge.

Task environments

We must think about **task environments**, which are essentially the "**problems**" to which rational agents are the "**solutions**."

Specifying the task environment

The rationality of the simple vacuum-cleaner agent, needs specification of

- the performance measure
- o the environment
- the agent's actuators and sensors.

PEAS

All these are grouped together under the heading of the task environment.

We call this the **PEAS** (Performance, Environment, Actuators, Sensors) description.

In designing an agent, the first step must always be to specify the task environment as fully as possible.

Agent Type	Performance	Environments	Actuators	Sensors		
	Measure					
Taxi driver	Safe: fast, legal, comfortable trip, maximize profits	Roads,other traffic,pedestrians, customers	Steering,accelerator, brake, Signal,horn,display	Cameras, sonar, Speedometer, GPS, Odometer, engine sensors, keyboards, accelerometer		
Figure 1.5 PEAS description of the task environment for an automated taxi.						

Agent Type	Performance Measure	Environment	Actuators	Sensors	
Medical diagnosis system	Healthy patient, minimize costs, lawsuits	Patient, hospital, staff	Display questions, tests, diagnoses, treatments, referrals	Keyboard entry of symptoms, findings, patient's answers	
Satellite image analysis system	Correct image categorization	Downlink from orbiting satellite	Display categorization of scene	Color pixel arrays	
Part-picking robot	Percentage of parts in correct bins	Conveyor belt with parts; bins	Jointed arm and hand	Camera, joint angle sensors	
Refinery controller	Maximize purity, yield, safety	Refinery, operators	Valves, pumps, heaters, displays	Temperature, pressure, chemical sensors	
Interactive English tutor	Maximize student's score on test	Set of students, testing agency	Display exercises, suggestions, corrections	Keyboard entry	

Figure 1.6 Examples of agent types and their PEAS descriptions.

Properties of task environments

- Fully observable vs. partially observable
- Deterministic vs. stochastic
- Episodic vs. sequential
- Static vs. dynamic
- Discrete vs. continuous
- o Single agent vs. multiagent

Fully observable vs. partially observable.

If an agent's sensors give it access to the complete state of the environment at each point in time, then we say that the task environment is fully observable. A task environment is effectively fully observable if the sensors detect all aspects that are *relevant* to the choice of action;

An environment might be partially observable because of noisy and inaccurate sensors or because parts of the state are simplly missing from the sensor data.

Deterministic vs. stochastic.

If the next state of the environment is completely determined by the current state and the action executed by the agent, then we say the environment is deterministic; otherwise, it is stochastic.

Episodic vs. sequential

In an **episodic task environment**, the agent's experience is divided into atomic episodes. Each episode consists of the agent perceiving and then performing a single action. Crucially, the next episode does not depend on the actions taken in previous episodes. For example, an agent that has to spot defective parts on an assembly line bases each decision on the current part, regardless of previous decisions;

In **sequential environments**, on the other hand, the current decision could affect all future decisions. Chess and taxi driving are sequential: **Discrete** vs. **continuous.**

The discrete/continuous distinction can be applied to the *state* of the environment, to the way *time* is handled, and to the *percepts* and *actions* of the agent. For example, a discrete-state environment such as a chess game has a finite number of distinct states. Chess also has a discrete set of percepts and actions. Taxi driving is a continuous-state and continuous-time problem: the speed and location of the taxi and of the other vehicles sweep through a range of continuous values and do so smoothly over time. Taxi-driving actions are also continuous (steering angles, etc.).

Single agent vs. multiagent.

An agent solving a crossword puzzle by itself is clearly in a single-agent environment, whereas an agent playing chess is in a two-agent environment.

As one might expect, the hardest case is *partially observable*, *stochastic*, *sequential*, *dynamic*, *continuous*, and *multiagent*.

Task Environment	Observable	Deterministic	Episodic	Static	Discrete	Agents
Crossword puzzle	Fully	Deterministic	Sequential	Static	Discrete	Single
Chess with a clock	Fully	Strategic	Sequential	Semi	Discrete	Multi
Poker	Partially	Stochastic	Sequential	Static	Discrete	Multi
Backgammon	Fully	Stochastic	Sequential	Static	Discrete	Multi
Taxi driving	Partially	Stochastic	Sequential	Dynamie	Continuous	Multi
Medical diagnosis	Partially	Stochastic	Sequential	Dynamie	Continuous	Single
Image-analysis	Fully	Deterministic	Episodic	Semi	Continuous	Single
Part-picking robot	Partially	Stochastic	Episodic	Dynamic	Continuous	Single
Refinery controller	Partially	Stochastic	Sequential	Dynamie	Continuous	Single
Interactive English tutor	Partially	Stochastic	Sequential	Dynamie	Discrete	Multi

Figure 1.7 lists the properties of a number of familiar environments.

Figure 1.7 Examples of task environments and their characteristics.

Agent programs

The agent programs all have the same skeleton: they take the current percept as input from the sensors and return an action to the actuatom6 Notice the difference between the **agent program**, which takes the current percept as input, and the **agent function**, which takes the entire percept history. The agent program takes just the current percept as input because nothing more is available from the environment; if the agent's actions depend on the entire percept sequence, the agent will have to remember the percepts.

Function TABLE-DRIVEN_AGENT(percept) returns an action

static: *percepts*, a sequence initially empty

table, a table of actions, indexed by percept sequence

append *percept* to the end of *percepts* action \leftarrow LOOKUP(*percepts*, table) **return** action

Figure 1.8 The TABLE-DRIVEN-AGENT program is invoked for each new percept and returns an action each time.

Drawbacks:

- **Table lookup** of percept-action pairs defining all possible condition-action rules necessary to interact in an environment
- Problems
 - Too big to generate and to store (Chess has about 10¹²⁰ states, for example)
 - No knowledge of non-perceptual parts of the current state
 - Not adaptive to changes in the environment; requires entire table to be updated if changes occur
 - Looping: Can't make actions conditional
- Take a long time to build the table
- No autonomy
- Even with learning, need a long time to learn the table entries

Some Agent Types

- Table-driven agents
 - use a percept sequence/action table in memory to find the next action. They are implemented by a (large) **lookup table**.
- Simple reflex agents
 - are based on **condition-action rules**, implemented with an appropriate production system. They are stateless devices which do not have memory of past world states.
- Agents with memory
 - have **internal state**, which is used to keep track of past states of the world.
- Agents with goals
 - are agents that, in addition to state information, have **goal information** that describes desirable situations. Agents of this kind take future events into consideration.
- Utility-based agents
 - base their decisions on **classic axiomatic utility theory** in order to act rationally.

Simple Reflex Agent

The simplest kind of agent is the **simple reflex agent.** These agents select actions on the basis of the *current* percept, ignoring the rest of the percept history. For example, the vacuum agent whose agent function is tabulated in Figure 1.10 is a simple reflex agent, because its decision is based only on the current location and on whether that contains dirt.

- Select action on the basis of *only the current* percept. E.g. the vacuum-agent
- Large reduction in possible percept/action situations(next page).
- Implemented through *condition-action rules*
 - If dirty then suck

A Simple Reflex Agent: Schema



function SIMPLE-REFLEX-AGENT(percept) returns an action

static: *rules*, a set of condition-action rules *state* \leftarrow INTERPRET-INPUT(*percept*) *rule* \leftarrow RULE-MATCH(*state*, *rule*) *action* \leftarrow RULE-ACTION[*rule*] return *action*

Figure 1.10 A simple reflex agent. It acts according to a rule whose condition matches the current state, as defined by the percept.

function REFLEX-VACUUM-AGENT ([location, status]) return an action
if status == Dirty then return Suck
else if location == A then return Right
else if location == B then return Left

Figure 1.11 The agent program for a simple reflex agent in the two-state vacuum environment. This program implements the agent function tabulated in the figure 1.4.

Characteristics

- Only works if the environment is fully observable.
- Lacking history, easily get stuck in infinite loops
- One solution is to randomize actions
- 0

Model-based reflex agents

The most effective way to handle partial observability is for the agent to *keep track of the part of the world it can't see now*. That is, the agent should maintain some sort of **internal state** that depends

on the percept history and thereby reflects at least some of the unobserved aspects of the current state.

Updating this internal state information as time goes by requires two kinds of knowledge to be encoded in the agent program. First, we need some information about how the world evolves independently of the agent-for example, that an overtaking car generally will be closer behind than it was a moment ago. Second, we need some information about how the agent's own actions affect the world-for example, that when the agent turns the steering wheel clockwise, the car turns to the right or that after driving for five minutes northbound on the freeway one is usually about five miles north of where one was five minutes ago. This knowledge about "how the world working - whether implemented in simple Boolean circuits or in complete scientific theories-is called a **model** of the world. An agent that uses such a MODEL-BASED model is called a **model-based agent**.



function REFLEX-AGENT-WITH-STATE(*percept*) **returns** an action **static**: *rules*, a set of condition-action rules *state*, a description of the current world state *action*, the most recent action. *state* \leftarrow UPDATE-STATE(*state*, *action*, *percept*) *rule* \leftarrow RULE-MATCH(*state*, *rule*) *action* \leftarrow RULE-ACTION[*rule*] return *action*

Figure 1.13 Model based reflex agent. It keeps track of the current state of the world using an internal model. It then chooses an action in the same way as the reflex agent.

Goal-based agents

Knowing about the current state of the environment is not always enough to decide what to do. For example, at a road junction, the taxi can turn left, turn right, or go straight on. The correct decision depends on where the taxi is trying to get to. In other words, as well as a current state description, the agent needs some sort of **goal** information that describes situations that are desirable-for example, being at the passenger's destination. The agent program can combine this with information about the results of possible actions (the same information as was used to update internal state in the reflex agent) in order to choose actions that achieve the goal. Figure 1.13 shows the goal-based agent's structure.



Utility-based agents

Goals alone are not really enough to generate high-quality behavior in most environments. For example, there are many action sequences that will get the taxi to its destination (thereby achieving the goal) but some are quicker, safer, more reliable, or cheaper than others. Goals just provide a crude binary distinction between "happy" and "unhappy" states, whereas a more general **performance measure** should allow a comparison of different world states according to exactly how happy they would make the agent if they could be achieved. Because "happy" does not sound very scientific, the customary terminology is to say that if one world state is preferred to another, then it has higher **utility** for the agent.



- Certain goals can be reached in different ways.
 - Some are better, have a higher utility.
- Utility function maps a (sequence of) state(s) onto a real number.
- Improves on goals:
 - Selecting between conflicting goals
 - Select appropriately between several goals based on likelihood of success.



• All agents can improve their performance through learning.

A learning agent can be divided into four conceptual components, as shown in Figure 1.15 The most important distinction is between the **learning element**, which is responsible for making improvements, and the **performance element**, which is responsible for selecting external actions. The performance element is what we have previously considered to be the entire agent: it takes in percepts and decides on actions. The learning element uses feedback from the **critic** on how the agent is doing and determines how the performance element should be modified to do better in the future.

The last component of the learning agent is the **problem generator**. It is responsible for suggesting actions that will lead to new and **informative experiences**. But if the agent is willing to explore a little, it might discover much better actions for the long run. The problem generator's job is to suggest these **exploratory actions**. This is what scientists do when they carry out experiments.

Summary: Intelligent Agents

- An **agent** perceives and acts in an environment, has an architecture, and is implemented by an agent program.
- Task environment PEAS (Performance, Environment, Actuators, Sensors)
- The most challenging environments are inaccessible, nondeterministic, dynamic, and continuous.
- An **ideal agent** always chooses the action which maximizes its expected performance, given its percept sequence so far.
- An agent program maps from percept to action and updates internal state.
 - **Reflex agents** respond immediately to percepts.

- simple reflex agents
- model-based reflex agents
- **Goal-based agents** act in order to achieve their goal(s).
- Utility-based agents maximize their own utility function.
- All agents can improve their performance through learning.

1.3.1 Problem Solving by Search

An important aspect of intelligence is *goal-based* problem solving.

The **solution** of many **problems** can be described by finding a **sequence of actions** that lead to a desirable **goal.** Each action changes the *state* and the aim is to find the sequence of actions and states that lead from the initial (start) state to a final (goal) state.

A well-defined problem can be described by:

- Initial state
- **Operator or successor function** for any state x returns s(x), the set of states reachable from x with one action
- State space all states reachable from initial by any sequence of actions
- **Path** sequence through state space
- **Path cost** function that assigns a cost to a path. Cost of a path is the sum of costs of individual actions along the path
- Goal test test to determine if at goal state

What is Search?

Search is the systematic examination of states to find path from the start/root state to the goal state.

The set of possible states, together with *operators* defining their connectivity constitute the *search space*.

The output of a search algorithm is a solution, that is, a path from the initial state to a state that satisfies the goal test.

Problem-solving agents

A Problem solving agent is a **goal-based** agent . It decide what to do by finding sequence of actions that lead to desirable states. The agent can adopt a goal and aim at satisfying it.

To illustrate the agent's behavior ,let us take an example where our agent is in the city of Arad, which is in Romania. The agent has to adopt a **goal** of getting to Bucharest.

Goal formulation, based on the current situation and the agent's performance measure, is the first step in problem solving.

The agent's task is to find out which sequence of actions will get to a goal state.

Problem formulation is the process of deciding what actions and states to consider given a goal.

Example: Route finding problem Referring to figure 1.19 On holiday in Romania : currently in Arad. Elight leaves tomorrow from Bucharest
Formulate goal: be in Bucharest
Formulate problem:
states: various cities
actions: drive between cities
Find solution:
sequence of cities, e.g., Arad, Sibiu, Fagaras, Bucharest
Problem formulation
A problem is defined by four items:
initial state e.g., -at Arad"
successor function $S(x) =$ set of action-state pairs
e.g., $S(Arad) = \{[Arad \rightarrow Zerind; Zerind],\}$
goal test, can be
explicit, e.g., x = at Bucharest"
implicit, e.g., NoDirt(x)
path cost (additive)
e.g., sum of distances, number of actions executed, etc.
$c(x; a; y)$ is the step cost, assumed to be ≥ 0
A solution is a sequence of actions leading from the initial state to a goal state.

Figure 1.17 Goal formulation and problem formulation

Search

An agent with several immediate options of unknown value can decide what to do by examining different possible sequences of actions that leads to the states of known value, and then choosing the best sequence. The process of looking for sequences actions from the current state to reach the goal state is called **search**.

The **search algorithm** takes a **problem** as **input** and returns a **solution** in the form of **action sequence.** Once a solution is found, the **execution phase** consists of carrying out the recommended action..

Figure 1.18 shows a simple -formulate, search, execute design for the agent. Once solution has been executed, the agent will formulate a new goal.

function SIMPLE-PROBLEM-SOLVING-AGENT(percept) returns an action
inputs : <i>percept</i> , a percept
static: seq, an action sequence, initially empty
state, some description of the current world state
goal, a goal, initially null
problem, a problem formulation
state UPDATE-STATE(<i>state</i> , <i>percept</i>)
if seq is empty then do
goal + FORMULATE-GOAL(state)
problem

seq
SEARCH(problem) action \leftarrow FIRST(seq); seq \leftarrow REST(seq) return action A Simple problem solving agent. It first formulates a **goal** and a Figure 1.18 problem, searches for a sequence of actions that would solve a problem, and executes the actions one at a time. •

- The agent design assumes the Environment is
 - Static : The entire process carried out without paying attention to changes that might be occurring in the environment.
 - **Observable** : The initial state is known and the agent's sensor detects all aspects that are relevant to the choice of action
 - **Discrete** : With respect to the state of the environment and percepts and actions so that alternate courses of action can be taken
 - **Deterministic** : The next state of the environment is completely determined by the current state and the actions executed by the agent. Solutions to the problem are single sequence of actions

An agent carries out its plan with eye closed. This is called an open loop system because ignoring the percepts breaks the loop between the agent and the environment.

1.3.1.1 Well-defined problems and solutions

A problem can be formally defined by four components:

- The initial state that the agent starts in . The initial state for our agent of example problem is described by *In(Arad)*
- A Successor Function returns the possible actions available to the agent. Given a state x,SUCCESSOR-FN(x) returns a set of {action,successor} ordered pairs where each action is one of the legal actions in state x, and each successor is a state that can be reached from x by applying the action.

For example, from the state In(Arad), the successor function for the Romania problem would return

{ [Go(Sibiu),In(Sibiu)],[Go(Timisoara),In(Timisoara)],[Go(Zerind),In(Zerind)] }

- State Space : The set of all states reachable from the initial state. The state space forms a • graph in which the nodes are states and the arcs between nodes are actions.
- A **path** in the state space is a sequence of states connected by a sequence of actions.
- Thr goal test determines whether the given state is a goal state.
- A **path cost** function assigns numeric cost to each action. For the Romania problem the cost of path might be its length in kilometers.
- The step cost of taking action a to go from state x to state y is denoted by c(x,a,y). The step cost for Romania are shown in figure 1.18. It is assumed that the step costs are non negative.
- A **solution** to the problem is a path from the initial state to a goal state.
- An **optimal solution** has the lowest path cost among all solutions.



1.3.2 EXAMPLE PROBLEMS

The problem solving approach has been applied to a vast array of task environments. Some best known problems are summarized below. They are distinguished as toy or real-world problems

A **toy problem** is intended to illustrate various problem solving methods. It can be easily used by different researchers to compare the performance of algorithms.

A real world problem is one whose solutions people actually care about.

1.3.2.1 TOY PROBLEMS

Vacuum World Example

- States: The agent is in one of two locations., each of which might or might not contain dirt. Thus there are $2 \times 2^2 = 8$ possible world states.
- Initial state: Any state can be designated as initial state.
- **Successor function** : This generates the legal states that results from trying the three actions (left, right, suck). The complete state space is shown in figure 2.3
- Goal Test : This tests whether all the squares are clean.
- Path test : Each step costs one , so that the the path cost is the number of steps in the path.

Vacuum World State Space



The 8-puzzle

An 8-puzzle consists of a 3x3 board with eight numbered tiles and a blank space. A tile adjacent to the balank space can slide into the space. The object is to reach the goal state ,as shown in figure 2.4 **Example: The 8-puzzle**



The problem formulation is as follows :

- **States** : A state description specifies the location of each of the eight tiles and the blank in one of the nine squares.
- **Initial state** : Any state can be designated as the initial state. It can be noted that any given goal can be reached from exactly half of the possible initial states.
- **Successor function** : This generates the legal states that result from trying the four actions(blank moves Left,Right,Up or down).
- **Goal Test** : This checks whether the state matches the goal configuration shown in figure 2.4.(Other goal configurations are possible)
- **Path cost** : Each step costs 1, so the path cost is the number of steps in the path.

The 8-puzzle belongs to the family of sliding-block puzzles, which are often used as test problems for new search algorithms in AI. This general class is known as NP-complete. The **8-puzzle** has 9!/2 = 181,440 reachable states and is easily solved.

The **15 puzzle** $(4 \times 4 \text{ board})$ has around 1.3 trillion states, an the random instances can be solved optimally in few milli seconds by the best search algorithms.

The **24-puzzle** (on a 5 x 5 board) has around 10^{25} states ,and random instances are still quite difficult to solve optimally with current machines and algorithms.

8-queens problem

The goal of 8-queens problem is to place 8 queens on the chessboard such that no queen attacks any other.(A queen attacks any piece in the same row,column or diagonal). Figure 2.5 shows an attempted solution that fails: the queen in the right most column i

Figure 2.5 shows an attempted solution that fails: the queen in the right most column is attacked by the queen at the top left.

An **Incremental formulation** involves operators that augments the state description, starting with an empty state.for 8-queens problem, this means each action adds a queen to the state. A **complete-state formulation** starts with all 8 queens on the board and move them around. In either case the path cost is of no interest because only the final state counts.



The first incremental formulation one might try is the following :

- States : Any arrangement of 0 to 8 queens on board is a state.
- Initial state : No queen on the board.
- **Successor function** : Add a queen to any empty square.
- **Goal Test** : 8 queens are on the board, none attacked.

In this formulation, we have $64.63...57 = 3 \times 10^{14}$ possible sequences to investigate.

A better formulation would prohibit placing a queen in any square that is already attacked.

- States : Arrangements of n queens ($0 \le n \le 8$), one per column in the left most columns , with no queen attacking another are states.
- **Successor function** : Add a queen to any square in the left most empty column such that it is not attacked by any other queen.

This formulation reduces the 8-queen state space from 3 x 10^{14} to just 2057, and solutions are easy to find.

0

:

For the 100 queens the initial formulation has roughly 10^{400} states whereas the improved formulation has about 10^{52} states. This is a huge reduction, but the improved state space is still too big for the algorithms to handle.

1.3.2.2 REAL-WORLD PROBLEMS

ROUTE-FINDING PROBLEM

Route-finding problem is defined in terms of specified locations and transitions along links between them. Route-finding algorithms are used in a variety of applications, such as routing in computer networks, military operations planning, and air line travel planning systems.

AIRLINE TRAVEL PROBLEM

The airline travel problem is specifies as follows :

- **States :** Each is represented by a location(e.g.,an airport) and the current time.
- Initial state : This is specified by the problem.
- **Successor function :** This returns the states resulting from taking any scheduled flight(further specified by seat class and location), leaving later than the current time plus the within-airport transit time, from the current airport to another.
- **Goal Test :** Are we at the destination by some prespecified time?
- **Path cost :** This depends upon the monetary cost, waiting time, flight time, customs and immigration procedures, seat quality, time of dat, type of air plane, frequent-flyer mileage awards, and so on.

TOURING PROBLEMS

Touring problems are closely related to route-finding problems, but with an important difference. Consider for example, the problem, Visit every city at least once as shown in Romania map. As with route-finding the actions correspond to trips between adjacent cities. The state space, however, is quite different.

The initial state would be -In Bucharest; visited{Bucharest}.

A typical intermediate state would be –In Vaslui;visited {Bucharest,Urziceni,Vaslui}. The goal test would check whether the agent is in Bucharest and all 20 cities have been visited.

THE TRAVELLING SALESPERSON PROBLEM(TSP)

Is a touring problem in which each city must be visited exactly once. The aim is to find the shortest tour. The problem is known to be **NP-hard**. Enormous efforts have been expended to improve the capabilities of TSP algorithms. These algorithms are also used in tasks such as planning movements of **automatic circuit-board drills** and of **stocking machines** on shop floors.

VLSI layout

A VLSI layout problem requires positioning millions of components and connections on a chip to minimize area ,minimize circuit delays,minimize stray capacitances, and maximize manufacturing yield. The layout problem is split into two parts : cell layout and channel routing.

ROBOT navigation

ROBOT navigation is a generalization of the route-finding problem. Rather than a discrete set of routes, a robot can move in a continuous space with an infinite set of possible actions and states. For a circular Robot moving on a flat surface, the space is essentially two-dimensional.

When the robot has arms and legs or wheels that also must be controlled, the search space becomes multi-dimensional. Advanced techniques are required to make the search space finite.

AUTOMATIC ASSEMBLY SEQUENCING

The example includes assembly of intricate objects such as electric motors. The aim in assembly problems is to find the order in which to assemble the parts of some objects. If the wrong order is choosen, there will be no way to add some part later without undoing somework already done. Another important assembly problem is protein design, in which the goal is to find a sequence of Amino acids that will be fold into a three-dimensional protein with the right properties to cure some disease.

INTERNET SEARCHING

In recent years there has been increased demand for software robots that perform Internet searching.,looking for answers to questions,for related information,or for shopping deals. The searching techniques consider internet as a graph of nodes(pages) connected by links.

1.3.3 SEARCHING FOR SOLUTIONS

SEARCH TREE

Having formulated some **problems**, we now need to **solve** them. This is done by a **search** through the **state space**. A **search tree** is generated by the **initial state** and the **successor function** that together define the **state space**. In general, we may have a *search graph* rather than a *search tree*, when the same state can be reached from multiple paths.

Figure 1.23 shows some of the expansions in the search tree for finding a route from Arad to Bucharest.



The root of the search tree is a **search node** corresponding to the initial **state**,In(Arad). The first step is to test whether this is a **goal state**. The current state is expanded by applying the successor function to the current state,thereby generating a new set of states. In this case,we get three new states: In(Sibiu),In(Timisoara),and In(Zerind). Now we must choose which of these three possibilities to consider further. This is the essense of search- following up one option now and putting the others aside for latter, in case the first choice does not lead to a solution.

Search strategy . The general tree-search algorithm is described informally in Figure 1.24

Tree Search

function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure
initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem
loop do
if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
choose a leaf node for expansion according to strategy
if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
Figure 1.24 An informal description of the general tree-search algorithm

The choice of which state to expand is determined by the **search strategy**. There are an infinite number paths in this state space ,so the search tree has an infinite number of **nodes**.

A node is a data structure with five components :

- STATE : a state in the state space to which the node corresponds;
- PARENT-NODE : the node in the search tree that generated this node;
- ACTION : the action that was applied to the parent to generate the node;
- PATH-COST : the cost, denoted by g(n), of the path from initial state to the node, as indicated by the parent pointers; and
- DEPTH : the number of steps along the path from the initial state.

It is important to remember the distinction between nodes and states. A node is a book keeping data structure used to represent the search tree. A state corresponds to configuration of the world.



Fringe

Fringe is a collection of nodes that have been generated but not yet been expanded. Each element of the fringe is a leaf node, that is, a node with no successors in the tree. The fringe of each tree consists of those nodes with bold outlines.

The collection of these nodes is implemented as a **queue.** The general tree search algorithm is shown in Figure 2.9

function TREE-SEARCH(problem, fringe) returns a solution, or failure	
$fringe \leftarrow \text{Insert}(\text{Make-Node}(\text{Initial-State}[problem]), fringe)$	
loop do	
if EMPTY?(fringe) then return failure	
$node \leftarrow \text{REMOVE-FIRST}(fringe)$, *
if GOAL-TEST[problem] applied to STATE[node] succeeds	
then return SOLUTION(node)	
$fringe \leftarrow \text{INSERT-ALL}(\text{EXPAND}(node, problem), fringe)$	
function EXPAND(node, problem) returns a set of nodes	
$successors \leftarrow$ the empty set	
for each (action, result) in SUCCESSOR-FN[problem](STATE[node]) do	
$s \leftarrow a \text{ new NODE}$	
$STATE[s] \leftarrow result$	
PARENT-NODE[s] $\leftarrow node$	
$ACTION[s] \leftarrow action$	
PATH-COST[s] \leftarrow PATH-COST[node] + STEP-COST(node, action, s)	
$DEPTH[s] \leftarrow DEPTH[node] + 1$	
add s to successors	
return successors	
Figure 1.26 The general Tree search algorithm	

The operations specified in Figure 1.26 on a queue are as follows:

- **MAKE-QUEUE(element,...)** creates a queue with the given element(s).
- EMPTY?(queue) returns true only if there are no more elements in the queue.
- **FIRST(queue)** returns FIRST(queue) and removes it from the queue.
- **INSERT**(element,queue) inserts an element into the queue and returns the resulting queue.
- **INSERT-ALL(elements,queue)** inserts a set of elements into the queue and returns the resulting queue.

MEASURING PROBLEM-SOLVING PERFORMANCE

The output of problem-solving algorithm is either failure or a solution.(Some algorithms might struck in an infinite loop and never return an output.

The algorithm's performance can be measured in four ways :

- **Completeness** : Is the algorithm guaranteed to find a solution when there is one?
- **Optimality** : Does the strategy find the optimal solution
- **Time complexity** : How long does it take to find a solution?
- Space complexity : How much memory is needed to perform the search?

1.3.4 UNINFORMED SEARCH STRATGES

Uninformed Search Strategies have no additional information about states beyond that provided in the **problem definition**.

Strategies that know whether one non goal state is -more promising than another are called Informed search or heuristic search strategies.

There are five uninformed search strategies as given below.

- o Breadth-first search
- Uniform-cost search
- Depth-first search
- Depth-limited search
- Iterative deepening search

1.3.4.1 Breadth-first search

Breadth-first search is a simple strategy in which the root node is expanded first, then all successors of the root node are expanded next, then their successors, and so on. In general, all the nodes are expanded at a given depth in the search tree before any nodes at the next level are expanded.

Breath-first-search is implemented by calling TREE-SEARCH with an empty fringe that is a first-in-first-out(FIFO) queue, assuring that the nodes that are visited first will be expanded first. In otherwards, calling TREE-SEARCH(problem, FIFO-QUEUE()) results in breadth-first-search. The FIFO queue puts all newly generated successors at the end of the queue, which means that Shallow nodes are expanded before deeper nodes.



Figure 1.27 Breadth-first search on a simple binary tree. At each stage ,the node to be expanded next is indicated by a marker.

Properties of breadth-first-search

Complete?? Yes (if b is finite)

Time??
$$1 + b + b^2 + b^3 + \ldots + b^d + b(b^d - 1) = O(b^{d+1})$$
, i.e., exp. in d

Space?? $O(b^{d+1})$ (keeps every node in memory)

Optimal?? No, unless step costs are constant

Space is the big problem; can easily generate nodes at 100MB/sec so 24hrs = 8640GB.

Figure 1.28 Breadth-first-search properties

Time and Memory Requirements for BFS – $O(b^{d+1})$

Example:

- b = 10
- 10000 nodes/second
- each node requires 1000 bytes of storage

Depth	Nodes	Time	Memory
2	1100	.11 sec	1 meg
4	111,100	11 sec	106 meg
6	107	19 min	10 gig
8	10*	31 hrs	1 tera
10	1011	129 days	101 tera
12	1013	35 yrs	10 peta
14	10^{15}	3523 yrs	1 exa

Figure 1.29 Time and memory requirements for breadth-first-search. The numbers shown assume branch factor of b = 10; 10,000 nodes/second; 1000 bytes/node

Time complexity for BFS

Assume every state has b successors. The root of the search tree generates b nodes at the first level, each of which generates b more nodes, for a total of b^2 at the second level. Each of these generates b more nodes, yielding b^3 nodes at the third level, and so on. Now suppose, that the solution is at depth d. In the worst case, we would expand all but the last node at level d, generating b^{d+1} - b nodes at level d+1.

Then the total number of nodes generated is

 $b + b^2 + b^3 + \dots + b^d + (b^{d+1} + b) = O(b^{d+1)}$

Every node that is generated must remain in memory, because it is either part of the fringe or is an ancestor of a fringe node. The space completely is, therefore, the same as the time complexity

1.3.4.2 UNIFORM-COST SEARCH

Instead of expanding the shallowest node,**uniform-cost search** expands the node n with the lowest path cost. uniform-cost search does not care about the number of steps a path has,but only about their total cost.



2.5.1.3 DEPTH-FIRST-SEARCH

Depth-first-search always expands the deepest node in the current fringe of the search tree. The progress of the search is illustrated in figure 1.31. The search proceeds immediately to the deepest level of the search tree, where the nodes have no successors. As those nodes are expanded, they are dropped from the fringe, so then the search -backs up to the next shallowest node that still has unexplored successors.



Figure 1.31 Depth-first-search on a binary tree. Nodes that have been expanded and have no descendants in the fringe can be removed from the memory;these are shown in black. Nodes at depth 3 are assumed to have no successors and M is the only goal node.

This strategy can be implemented by TREE-SEARCH with a last-in-first-out (LIFO) queue, also known as a stack.

Depth-first-search has very modest memory requirements. It needs to store only a single path from the root to a leaf node, along with the remaining unexpanded sibling nodes for each node on the path. Once the node has been expanded, it can be removed from the memory, as soon as its descendants have been fully explored (Refer Figure 2.12).

For a state space with a branching factor b and maximum depth m,depth-first-search requires storage of only bm + 1 nodes.

Using the same assumptions as Figure 2.11, and assuming that nodes at the same depth as the goal node have no successors, we find the depth-first-search would require 118 kilobytes instead of 10 petabytes, a factor of 10 billion times less space.

Drawback of Depth-first-search

The drawback of depth-first-search is that it can make a wrong choice and get stuck going down very long(or even infinite) path when a different choice would lead to solution near the root of the search tree. For example ,depth-first-search will explore the entire left subtree even if node C is a goal node.

BACKTRACKING SEARCH

A variant of depth-first search called backtracking search uses less memory and only one successor is generated at a time rather than all successors.; Only O(m) memory is needed rather than O(bm)

1.3.4.4 DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH

The problem of unbounded trees can be alleviated by supplying depth-first-search with a predetermined depth limit l.That is,nodes at depth l are treated as if they have no successors. This approach is called **depth-limited-search**. The depth limit soves the infinite path problem. Depth limited search will be nonoptimal if we choose l > d. Its time complexity is $O(b^l)$ and its space complety is O(bl). Depth-first-search can be viewed as a special case of depth-limited search with l = oo

Sometimes, depth limits can be based on knowledge of the problem. For, example, on the map of Romania there are 20 cities. Therefore, we know that if there is a solution., it must be of length 19 at the longest, So l = 10 is a possible choice. However, it oocan be shown that any city can be reached from any other city in at most 9 steps. This number known as the **diameter** of the state space, gives us a better depth limit.

Depth-limited-search can be implemented as a simple modification to the general tree-search algorithm or to the recursive depth-first-search algorithm. The pseudocode for recursive depth-limited-search is shown in Figure 1.32.

It can be noted that the above algorithm can terminate with two kinds of failure : the standard *failure* value indicates no solution; the *cutoff* value indicates no solution within the depth limit. Depth-limited search = depth-first search with depth limit 1, returns cut off if any path is cut off by depth limit

function Depth-Limited-Search(problem, limit) returns a solution/fail/cutoff
return Recursive-DLS(Make-Node(Initial-State[problem]), problem, limit)
function Recursive-DLS(node, problem, limit) returns solution/fail/cutoff
cutoff-occurred? false
if Goal-Test(problem,State[node]) then return Solution(node)
else if Depth[node] = limit then return cutoff
else for each successor in Expand(node, problem) do
result Recursive-DLS(successor, problem, limit)
if result = cutoff then cutoff_occurred? true
else if result not = failure then return result
if cutoff_occurred? then return cutoff else return failure
Figure 1.32 Recursive implementation of Depth-limited-search:

1.3.4.5 ITERATIVE DEEPENING DEPTH-FIRST SEARCH

Iterative deepening search (or iterative-deepening-depth-first-search) is a general strategy often used in combination with depth-first-search, that finds the better depth limit. It does this by gradually increasing the limit – first 0, then 1, then 2, and so on – until a goal is found. This will occur when the depth limit reaches d, the depth of the shallowest goal node. The algorithm is shown in Figure 2.14.

Iterative deepening combines the benefits of depth-first and breadth-first-search Like depth-first-search, its memory requirements are modest; O(bd) to be precise.

Like Breadth-first-search, it is complete when the branching factor is finite and optimal when the path cost is a non decreasing function of the depth of the node.

Figure 2.15 shows the four iterations of ITERATIVE-DEEPENING_SEARCH on a binary search tree, where the solution is found on the fourth iteration.

function ITERATIVE-DEEPENING-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution inputs: problem, a problem

for $depth \leftarrow 0$ to ∞ do $result \leftarrow DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH(problem, depth)$ if $result \neq$ cutoff then return result end

Figure 1.33 The **iterative deepening search algorithm**, which repeatedly applies depth-limitedsearch with increasing limits. It terminates when a solution is found or if the depth limited search resturns *failure*, meaning that no solution exists.



Iterative search is not as wasteful as it might seem



Iterative search is not as wasteful as it might seem Properties of iterative deepening search

<u>Complete</u>?? Yes <u>Time</u>?? $(d+1)b^0 + db^1 + (d-1)b^2 + ... + b^d = O(b^d)$ <u>Space</u>?? O(bd)<u>Optimal</u>?? No, unless step costs are constant Can be modified to explore uniform-cost tree Numerical comparison for b = 10 and d = 5, solution at far right leaf: N(IDS) = 50 + 400 + 3,000 + 20,000 + 100,000 = 123,450 N(BFS) = 10 + 100 + 1,000 + 10,000 + 100,000 + 999,990 = 1,111,100IDS does better because other nodes at depth d are not expanded BFS can be modified to apply goal test when a node is generated Figure 1.36 In general, iterative deepening is the prefered uninformed search method when there is a large search space and the depth of solution is not known.

1.3.4.6 Bidirectional Search

The idea behind bidirectional search is to run two simultaneous searches -

one forward from he initial state and

the other backward from the goal,

stopping when the two searches meet in the middle (Figure 1.37)

The motivation is that $b^{d/2} + b^{d/2}$ much less than ,or in the figure ,the area of the two small circles is less than the area of one big circle centered on the start and reaching to the goal.



1.3.4.7 Comparing Uninformed Search Strategies

Figure 1.38 compares search strategies in terms of the four evaluation criteria .

Criterion	Breadth- First	Uniform- Cost	Depth- First	Depth- Limited	Iterative Deepening	Bidirectional (if applicable)
Complete?	Yes ^a	Yes ^{a,b}	No	No	Yes ^a	Yes ^{a,d}
Time	$O(b^{d+1})$	$O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon \rfloor})$	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^{\ell})$	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{d/2})$
Space	$O(b^{d+1})$	$O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon \rfloor})$	O(bm)	$O(b\ell)$	O(bd)	$O(b^{d/2})$
Optimal?	Yesc	Yes	No	No	Yesc	Yesc,d

Figure 1.38 Evaluation of search strategies, b is the branching factor; d is the depth of the shallowest solution; m is the maximum depth of the search tree; l is the depth limit. Superscript caveats are as follows: ^a complete if b is finite; ^b complete if step costs \geq E for positive E; ^c optimal if step costs are all identical; ^d if both directions use breadth-first search.

1.3.5 AVOIDING REPEATED STATES

In searching,t ime is wasted by expanding states that have already been encountered and expanded before. For some problems repeated states are unavoidable. The search trees for these problems are infinite. If we prune some of the repeated states,we can cut the search tree down to finite size. Considering search tree upto a fixed depth, eliminating repeated states yields an exponential reduction in search cost.

Repeated states ,can cause a solvable problem to become unsolvable if the algorithm does not detect them.

Repeated states can be the source of great inefficiency: identical sub trees will be explored many times!



```
function GRAPH-SEARCH( problem, fringe) returns a solution, or failure

closed ← an empty set

fringe ← INSERT(MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[problem]), fringe)

loop do

if fringe is empty then return failure

node ← REMOVE-FRONT(fringe)

if GOAL-TEST[problem](STATE[node]) then return node

if STATE[node] is not in closed then

add STATE[node] to closed

fringe ← INSERTALL(EXPAND(node, problem), fringe)

end
```

Figure 1.41 The General graph search algorithm. The set closed can be implemented with a hash table to allow efficient checking for repeated states.

Do not return to the previous state.

- Do not create paths with cycles.
- Do not generate the same state twice.
- Store states in a hash table.

- Check for repeated states.

- Using more memory in order to check repeated state
 - Algorithms that forget their history are doomed to repeat it.
 - Maintain Close-List beside Open-List(fringe)

Strategies for avoiding repeated states

We can modify the general TREE-SEARCH algorithm to include the data structure called the **closed list**, which stores every expanded node. The fringe of unexpanded nodes is called the **open list**.

If the current node matches a node on the closed list, it is discarded instead of being expanded. The new algorithm is called GRAPH-SEARCH and much more efficient than TREE-SEARCH. The worst case time and space requirements may be much smaller than $O(b^d)$.